

<b>Interview Summary</b>	Application No. 10/508,990	Applicant(s) BINDEFELD, HERVE	
	Examiner Katherine L. Fernandez	Art Unit 3768	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Katherine L. Fernandez.

(3) Mr. B. H Beaumont Reg No. 30996

(2) Eleni Mantis-Mercader.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 13 September 2007.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1-46

Identification of prior art discussed: \_\_\_\_\_.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☒ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: \_\_\_\_\_.\*

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

\* Examiners suggested insertion of: --\*wherein the probe and the membrane are inclined by a fixed angle of between about 30-70 degrees-- after "esthetoscopic signals" in lines 7-8 of claim 1, and --\*both simultaneous-- after "(34) for" , delete in lines 10-11 "or a video signal or both" and instead insert -- and video signal viewing-- . This would more clearly indicate that there is simultaneous reception of both signals due to the inclined structure relationship. The Examiner will update the search.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Katherine L. Fernandez  
Examiner's signature, if required